arXiv:1612.09048v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 29 Dec 2016

# Effect of the type I to type II Weyl semimetal topological transition on superconductivity

Dingping Li,[1,2,*] Baruch Rosenstein,[3,†] B.Ya. Shapiro,[4,‡] and I. Shapiro[4]

[1] *School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
[2] *Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing, China*
[3] *Electrophysics Department, National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu 30050, Taiwan, R. O. C*
[4] *Physics Department, Bar-Ilan University, 52900 Ramat-Gan, Israel*

(Dated: December 30, 2016)

Recently, a new kind of Weyl semi - metals called "type-II" were discovered. The electronic excitation in these materials differ from those in the intensely studied type I Weyl materials in that the dispersion relation Dirac cones are tilted beyond critical angle. The Fermi surface has a different topology and this results in distinct electronic properties. A continuous transformation of the Fermi surface achieved by applying hydrostatic pressure resulted in a transition between the type I and type II. We show that in the case when superconductivity forms in such a material, it can be used as a clear indicator for the topological transformation. The critical temperature $T_c$ and superconducting gap $\Delta$ both have maximum in the point of topological phase transition. Results are discussed in the light of recent experiments.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 74.70.-b, 74.62.Fj

Effect of the Fermi surface topology on properties of a crystalline conductor was a subject of theoretical investigations over the years[1]. Experimentally a continuous deformation of the Fermi surface (without changing the chemical nature of the crystal) can be experimentally achieved by external factors like pressure and electric field. Historically transitions associated with change of topology were called "2.5 transition" [2]. These transitions were predicted to make a great impact on the transition to the superconducting state at low temperature [3]. Transport in materials with low electron density is especially sensitive to modification of the Fermi surface[4]. Recently new class of such materials made the phenomenon important in a very different setting. A broad number of low electron density 2D and 3D Weyl semi-metals were discovered. Most of them are characterized by linear dispersion relation near the Fermi surface. Topology and even dimensionality of the small Fermi surface in these materials is linked to the Berry phases of their band structure[5]. The first material of this class, graphene[6], exhibits the highest symmetry leading to linear ultra - relativistic spectrum, however most of the other materials are anisotropic. Examples include 2D Weyl semi-metals (WSM) silicene, germanene and borophene [7] and 3D crystals[8] $Na_3Bi$ , and[9] $Cd_3As_2$ and numerous layered organic compounds[10–12]. Topological insulators (TI) like $Bi_2Se_3$ and other[13] generally have Dirac cones on their surfaces of topological insulators.

It was realized recently that this variety of novel materials should be differentiated between the more isotropic "type I" Weyl semimetals[14], see Fig.1a, and highly anisotropic type II Weyl semi-metals in which the cone of the linear dispersion relation is tilted beyond a critical angle (Fig.1b). The newer type-II Weyl fermion materials, $WTe_2$ exhibits exotic phenomena such as angle dependent chiral anomaly[14]. Discovery of a Weyl semimetal in $TaAs$ offers the first Weyl fermion observed in nature and dramatically broadens the classification of topological phases. Other dichalkogenides like [15] $MoTe_2$ and [16] $PtTe_2$ were demonstrated to be type II Weyl semi - metals. In particular, the series $Mo_xW_{1-x}Te_2$ inversion-breaking, layered, tunable semimetals is already under study as a promising platform for new electronics and recently proposed to host Type II Weyl fermions[17]. Some other materials, like layered organic compound $\alpha-(BEDT-TTF)_2I_3$, were long suspected[11] to be a 2D type-II Dirac fermion. Several classes of materials were predicted via band structure calculations to undergo the I to II transition while doping or pressure is changed[18].

Theoretically physics of the transitions between the type I to type II Weyl semi-metals were considered in the context of superfluid phase[5] A of $He_3$, layered organic materials in 2D[12] and 3D Weyl semi-metals[19]. The pressure modifies the spin orbit coupling that in turn determines the topology of the Fermi surface of these novel materials. Very recently the topological transition in Weyl semi - metal under pressure was observed[20]. Moreover the tilting "under pressure" of the Dirac cones is clearly seen.

The transition is an ideal example of the "2.5 transition" mentioned above. Both the Fermi surface reconstruction and low electron density are present at the extreme in these materials. It is generally difficult to find good indicators for such a transition. Since superconductivity is especially affected by the "2.5 transition", it might serve as the indicator. Indeed some Weyl materials are known to be superconducting. Surface of the well known TI $Bi_2Se_3$ exhibits under certain conditions superconductivity of up to[21] $8K$, some organic materials like[22] $\kappa-(BEDT-TTF)_2X$ with $X=I_3$ or other anion[23] are superconducting.

A detailed study of superconductivity in TI under hydrostatic pressure revealed a curious dependence of critical temperature of the superconducting transition on pressure[24]. In intercalated $Sr_{0.065}Bi_2Se_3$ single crystal considered to be a pure material ambient weak superconductivity first is suppressed, but at high pressure of $6GPa$ reappears and reaches relatively high $T_c$ of $10K$ that persists till $80GPa$. The increase is not gradual, but rather abrupt in the region of $15GPa$ [24]. Superconductivity in similar TI compounds [25–27], $Bi_2Se_3$ , $Bi_2Te_3$ and 3D Weyl semi-metal[28] $HfTe_5$ were also studied experimentally. The critical temperature $T_c$ in some of these systems shows a sharp maximum as a function of pressure. This contrasts with generally smooth dependence on pressure in other superconductors (not suspected to be Weyl materials) like a high $T_c$ cuprate[29] $YBCO$. Various mechanisms of superconductivity in Dirac semi – metals and topological insulators turned superconductors have been considered theoretically [30–32]. A theory predicted possibility of superconductivity in the type II Weyl semimetals was developed recently in the framework of Eliashberg model [33].

We show in this letter that superconducting critical temperature and tunneling gap features is an efficient marker of the topological type I to type II transition under pressure. The analysis of the $s$-wave pairing in a more general Weyl semi-metal with tilted Dirac cones is considered in the framework of the boson mediated adiabatic regime. We calculate the critical temperature $T_c$ and the energy gap $\Delta$ at zero temperature for arbitrary tilt (controlled by pressure in certain materials) and find their sharp increase at topological transition point from type I to type II Weyl semi-metal.

Weyl material typically has several sublattices. We exemplify the effect of the topological transition on supercon-




FIG. 1. Spectrum of normal Weyl semimetal a. Symmetric, type I. b, Strongly tilted Dirac cone for the type II semimetals.

ductivity using the simplest possible model with just two sublattices denoted by $\alpha = 1, 2$. The band structure near the Fermi level of a 2D Weyl semi-metal is well captured by the following Hamiltonian,

$$K = \int_{\mathbf{r}} \psi_{\alpha}^{sL+}(\mathbf{r}) K_{\alpha\beta}^{L} \psi_{\beta}^{sL}(\mathbf{r}) + \psi_{\alpha}^{sR+}(\mathbf{r}) K_{\alpha\beta}^{R} \psi_{\beta}^{sR}(\mathbf{r}); \tag{1}$$
$$K_{\alpha\beta}^{L,R} = -i\hbar v \left(\nabla_x \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{x} \mp \nabla_y \sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{y}\right) + \left(-i\hbar \mathbf{w}\cdot\nabla - \mu\right)\delta_{\gamma\delta}.$$

Here $v$ is Fermi velocity, $\mu$ - chemical potential, $\sigma$ are Pauli matrices in the sublattice space and $s$ is spin projection. Generally there are a number of pairs of points (Dirac cones) constituting the Fermi "surface" of such a material at chemical potential $\mu = 0$. We restrict ourself to the case of just one left handed ($L$) and one right handed ($R$) Dirac points, typically but not always separated in the Brillouin zone. Generalization to several pairs is straightforward. The 2D velocity vector $\mathbf{w}$ defines the tilt of the cone, see dispersion relation of one of the cones in Fig.1. The graphene - like dispersion relation in Fig.1a for $w = 0$ represents the type I Weyl semi-metal, while when the length of the tilt vector exceeds $v$, Fig. 1b, the material becomes a type II Weyl semi - metal.

The effective electron-electron attraction due to either electron - phonon attraction and Coulomb repulsion (pseudopotential) or some unconventional pairing mechanism creates pairing. We assume that different valleys are paired independently and drop the valley indices (multiplying the density of states by $2N_f$). Further we assume the local singlet $s$-channel interaction Hamiltonian

$$V = \frac{g^2}{2} \int_{\mathbf{r}} \psi_{\alpha}^{+\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\beta}^{\downarrow+}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\beta}^{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\alpha}^{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r}). \tag{2}$$

As usual the interaction has a cutoff frequency $\Omega$, so that it is active in an energy shell of width $2\hbar\Omega$ around the Fermi level. For the phonon mechanism it is the Debye frequency.

Finite temperature properties of the condensate are described by the normal and the anomalous Matsubara Greens functions[34],

$$G_{\alpha\beta}^{ts}(\mathbf{r}\tau, \mathbf{r}'\tau') = -\left\langle T_\tau \psi_{\alpha}^{t}(\mathbf{r}\tau) \psi_{\beta}^{s+}(\mathbf{r}'\tau')\right\rangle = \delta^{ts} g_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}', \tau-\tau'); \tag{3}$$
$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{ts}(\mathbf{r}\tau, \mathbf{r}'\tau') = \left\langle T_\tau \psi_{\alpha}^{t}(\mathbf{r}\tau) \psi_{\beta}^{s}(\mathbf{r}'\tau')\right\rangle = -\varepsilon^{ts} f_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}', \tau-\tau');$$
$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{+ts}(\mathbf{r}\tau, \mathbf{r}'\tau') = \left\langle T_\tau \psi_{\alpha}^{t+}(\mathbf{r}\tau) \psi_{\beta}^{s+}(\mathbf{r}'\tau')\right\rangle = \varepsilon^{ts} f_{\alpha\beta}^{+}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}', \tau-\tau').$$

The second equality in each line relies on homogeneity and unbroken invariance under spin rotations. The gap function in the s-wave channel is

$$\Delta_{\alpha\gamma} \equiv -\frac{g^2}{4}\varepsilon^{s_3 s_2}\left\langle \psi_\alpha^{s_3}\left(\mathbf{r},\tau\right)\psi_\gamma^{s_2}\left(\mathbf{r},\tau\right)\right\rangle = \sigma^x_{\alpha\gamma}\Delta \tag{4}$$

In terms of Fourier transforms, $g_{\gamma\kappa}\left(r,\tau\right) = T\sum_{\omega\mathbf{p}}\exp\left[i\left(-\omega\tau+\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}\right)\right]g_{\gamma\kappa}\left(\omega,p\right)$, the Gorkov equations read (see derivation in Supplemental material I):

$$\begin{aligned}\left(v\mathbf{p}\cdot\sigma_{\gamma\beta}+\left(i\omega+\mu-wp_x\right)\delta_{\gamma\beta}\right)g_{\beta\kappa}\left(\omega,p\right)+\Delta\sigma^x_{\alpha\gamma}f^+_{\alpha\kappa}\left(\omega,p\right)=\delta^{\gamma\kappa};\\ \left(v\mathbf{p}\cdot\sigma_{\beta\gamma}+\left(-i\omega+\mu-wp_x\right)\delta_{\gamma\beta}\right)f^+_{\beta\kappa}\left(\omega,p\right)-\Delta^*\sigma^x_{\gamma\alpha}g_{\alpha\kappa}\left(\omega,p\right)=0.\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The Matsubara frequency at temperature $T$ takes values $\omega_n = \pi T\left(2n+1\right)$, and units are chosen such that $\hbar = 1$, We have chosen coordinates in such a way that the vector $w$ causing the tilt of the Dirac cone is oriented along the $x$ axis.

In matrix form (in sub-lattice space) we obtain the solution (see Supplemental material I)

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{g} &= \sigma^x\left(\mathbf{p}\cdot\sigma^t+\left(-i\omega+\mu-wp_x\right)I\right)M^{-1};\\ \widehat{f}^+ &= M^{-1}\Delta^*,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where

$$M = \left(v\mathbf{p}\cdot\sigma+\left(i\omega+\mu-wp_x\right)I\right)\sigma^x\left(v\mathbf{p}\cdot\sigma^t+\left(-i\omega+\mu-wp_x\right)I\right)+\left|\Delta\right|^2\sigma^x, \tag{7}$$

and $I$ is the identity matrix. The determinant of $M$, determines the spectrum of quasiparticles

$$E^2_\pm = \Delta^2+\left(vp-\mu\pm wp_x\right)^2, \tag{8}$$

depicted for type I and type II Weyl semimetals in Fig.2. The quasi-particle spectrum is very different. In a superconducting graphene -like material for $\mu >> \Delta$, Fig.2a, the energy gap is minimal on the circle of radius $\mu/v$. Note that the sharp cone pinnacle in the excitations spectrum wedge is formed at the former cone location. For the type II Weyl semi-metal turned superconductor, Fig.2b, the low energy spectrum consists of two parallel flat bands, while the pinnacle disappears.

At zero temperature the gap is determined by the equation

$$\Delta^* = \frac{\Delta^*}{2\left(2\pi\right)^3}\int_{\omega,\mathbf{p}}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\sigma_x M^{-1}\right], \tag{9}$$

resulting in

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{1}{\left(2\pi\right)^3}\int_{\omega\mathbf{p}}\frac{v^2p^2+\Delta^2+\left(\mu-wp_x\right)^2+\omega^2}{\left(\Delta^2+\left(vp+wp_x-\mu\right)^2+\omega^2\right)\left(\Delta^2+\left(vp-wp_x+\mu\right)^2+\omega^2\right)}. \tag{10}$$

Performing integration on $\omega$ and momenta subject to restriction of being inside the energy shell of $\Omega$ around the Fermi level, one obtains in the adiabatic limit $\mu >> \Omega >> \Delta$, after integration over azimuthal angle (see supplementary material for details),

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{\mu}{4\pi v^2}f\left(\kappa\right)\log\left[\frac{2\Omega}{\Delta}\right]. \tag{11}$$

Here $\kappa = w/v$ is the anisotropy parameter. The function $f$ is:

$$f\left(\kappa\right) = \begin{cases}\frac{2}{\left(1-\kappa^2\right)^{3/2}} & \text{for } \kappa<1\\ \frac{2\kappa^2}{\pi\left(\kappa^2-1\right)^{3/2}}\left\{2\sqrt{1+\kappa}-1+\log\frac{2\left(\kappa^2-1\right)}{\kappa\left(1+\sqrt{1+\kappa}\right)^2\delta}\right\} & \text{for } \kappa>1\end{cases} \tag{12}$$




FIG. 2. Spectrum of superconducting quasiparticles for (a) Type I and (b) Type II Weyl semimetal for chemical potential $\mu = 1$.

and $\delta = \pi a \Omega / w\hbar$ is the cutoff parameter, $a$ is the interatomic distance.

The superconducting gap in this case therefore is (returning to physical units)

$$\Delta = 2\hbar\Omega \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\lambda f(\kappa)}\right]. \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda = N(\mu) g^2$ is the conventional effective electron - electron dimensionless coupling constant and $\log[\gamma_E] = 0.577$, The density of states for $N_f$ pairs of Dirac cones is $\frac{2N_f}{4\pi v^2}\mu$ .

This dependence is presented in Fig.3.

The critical temperature is defined from the gap equation, when the gap $\Delta$ vanishes:

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{T}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \sum\nolimits_n \frac{v^2 p^2 + (\mu - w p_x)^2 + \omega_n^2}{\left((vp + w p_x - \mu)^2 + \omega_n^2\right)\left((vp - w p_x + \mu)^2 + \omega_n^2\right)}. \tag{14}$$

The sum over Matsubara frequencies can be done,

$$\frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{1}{4(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbf{p}} \left\{ \frac{\tanh\left[|vp + w p_x - \mu|/2T\right]}{|vp + w p_x - \mu|} + \frac{\tanh\left[|vp + w p_x + \mu|/2T\right]}{|vp + w p_x + \mu|} \right\}. \tag{15}$$

In the adiabatic approximation, $\mu >> \Omega$, performing integration one obtains (see details in SM):

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \approx \frac{\mu f(\kappa)}{4\pi v^2} \left( \log\frac{\Omega}{2T_c} \tanh\left[\frac{\Omega}{2T_c}\right] - \int_{E=0}^{\Omega} \frac{\log E}{\cosh^2\left[E/2T_c\right]} \right) \equiv f(\kappa) R(T_c, \Omega, \mu). \tag{16}$$

Eq.(16)reads for critical temperature

$$T_c = 1.14\Omega \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\lambda f(\kappa)}\right], \tag{17}$$




FIG. 3. Superconducting gap at zero temperature versus cone tilted parameter $\kappa$. Cutoff parameter $\delta = 0.01$.

One observes, Fig.3, that the critical temperature has a sharp peak at $w \to v$. The ratio $\frac{2\Delta}{T_c}$ is still universal for any $w/v$.

To summarize, a model Weyl semi-metal with tilted Dirac cones that in the normal phase undergoes a topological ("2.5") transition from type I to type II semi-metal was considered. The topological transition that occurs at certain value of the Dirac cone tilt parameter $w/v$ can be triggered by applying pressure or doping. Very often superconductivity appears in Weyl materials. We calculated in the framework of the phonon mediated pairing model the spectrum of the superconducting quasi-particles, the critical temperature and the superconducting gap as a function of the tilt parameter $w/v$ . It was found that the superconductor-normal transition is very sensitive to the otherwise generally elusive in transport and tunneling topological transition and thus might provide a tool to locate it.

The critical temperature and the superconducting energy gap of WSM have maximum at the transition point $w/v = 1$ due to flat Fermi surface under this parameter, see Fig. 1 in Supplementary materials. The quasi-particle spectrum is qualitatively distinct, see Fig.2. In particular the sharp cone pinnacle in the excitations spectrum wedge typical for a type I disappears, two parallel flat bands, are formed. This might affect the tunneling and magnetic vortices properties of the materials. Parameters of the system like the electron - phonon coupling, Debye frequency $\Omega$, and characteristics of the Weyl dispersion $v$ and $w$ between the structural phase transitions presumably smoothly depend on pressure. Although a very specific simple model of two dimensional single pair of Dirac cones was employed, it is expected that generalization to similar physical systems like the surface WSM in 3D topological insulators and 3D Weyl semi - metals will lead to similar conclusions. We discuss next an application of the results to recent measurement on superconductivity of a compound that have Weyl semi-metal signatures.

In intercalated $Sr_{0.065}Bi_2Se_3$ single crystal superconductivity first is suppressed, but at high pressure of $6GPa$ reappears and reaches relatively high $T_c$ of $10K$ that persists till $80GPa$. The increase is not gradual, but rather abrupt in the region of $15GPa$ as our theory predicts. The surface Dirac cone of the parent material $Bi_2Se_3$ in ambient conditions is type I, as was observed long ago by ARPES[13]. Moreover pressure apparently results in the tilt of the cone in some Weyl semi-metals[20]. This might provide an explanation of maximum in dependence of $T_c$ on pressure in single crystals observed in most of the superconducting semimetals [24],[25],[26].

The experimental situation is not unambiguous. There are structural phase transitions that might cause an abrupt change of electron - phonon coupling. Efforts have been made to associate the changes of $T_c$ with such transitions. For example while in [25] such maximum in $T_c$ takes place close to the structural phase transition from $C2/m$ (7-fold) to phase $C2/m$ (bcc). On the other hand results presented in [26] are clearly separated from structural phase transitions giving an evidence that this maximum is caused by undergoing Type I to Type II topological transition in semimetals.

*Acknowledgements.*

We are grateful to T. Maniv, T. W. Luo, M. Lewkowicz, C. C. Hou, E. Farber for valuable discussions. B.R. was supported by NSC of R.O.C. Grants No. 98-2112-M-009-014-MY3 and MOE ATU program. The work of D.L. also is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11274018 and No. 11674007). B.R. is grateful to School of Physics of Peking University and Bar Ilan Center for Superconductivity for hospitality.

* lidp@pku.edu.cn
† vortexbar@yahoo.com,correspondent author
‡ shapib@mail.biu.ac.il

[1] I. M. Lifshitz, M. Ya. Azbel, M. I. Kaganov, "Electron Theory of Metals", Springer, 2012.
[2] I. M. Lifshitz, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **38** 1569 (1960) ( Sov. Phys. JETP **11** 1130 (1960));
[3] C. N. Louis and K. Iyakutti, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 094509 (2003).
[4] Ya.M. Blanter, M.I. Kaganov, A.V. Pantsulaya, A.A. Varlamov, Physics Reports, **245**, 159 (1994);
[5] G.E. Volovik, "Lifshitz transitions via the type-II Dirac and type-II Weyl points", arXiv:1604.00849v5 (2016).
[6] K. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. Katsnelson, I. Grigorieva, S. Dubonos, and A. Firsov, Nature **438**, 197 (2005); M.I. Katsnelson, "Graphene. Carbon in Two Dimensions", Cambridge University Press, 2012.
[7] B. Feng, Jin Zhang, Qing Zhong, W. Li, S. Li, H. Li, P. Cheng, S. Meng, L. Chen & K. Wu, Nature Chemistry **8**, 563 (2016); M. E. Dvila, L. Xian, S Cahangirov, A Rubio and G. Le Lay, New J. Phys., **16**, 095002 (2014); P.-H. Shih, Y.-H. Chiu, J.-Y. Wu, F.-L. Shyu, M.-F. Lin, Coulomb excitations of monolayer germanene, arXiv:1604.07275v1 (2016).
[8] M. Neupane, S.-Y. Xu, R. Sankar, et al., Nat. Commun. **5** 3786 (2014); Z. K. Liu, et al., Nat. Mater. **13**, 677 (2014).
[9] Z. Wang, X.-F. Zhou, X. Zhang, Q. Zhu, H. Dong, M. Zhao, and A. R. Oganov, Nano Lett. **15**, 6182 (2015); L. He, Y. Jia, S. Zhang, X. Hong, C. Jin & S. Li, Quantum Materials **1** 16014 (2016)
[10] J. Singleton and C. Mielke, Cont. Phys. **43**, 63 (2002); N. Tajima, S. Sugawara, R. Kato, Y. Nishio, and K. Kajita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 176403 (2009); K. Kajita, Y. Nishio, N. Tajima, Y. Suzumura, and A. Kobayashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **83** 072002 (2014); H. Cui, H. Kobayashi, S. Ishibashi and M. Sasa, F. Iwase, R. Kato, and A. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **136** 7619 (2014).
[11] S. Katayama, A. Kobayashi, Y. Suzumura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **75**, 054705 (2006); M. O. Goerbig, J. -N. Fuchs, G. Montambaux, F. Piéchon, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 045415 (2008); Michihiro Hirata et al, "Observation of an anisotropic Dirac cone reshaping and ferrimagnetic spin polarization in an organic conductor".
[12] M. Monteverde, M. O. Goerbig, P. Auban-Senzier, F. Navarin, H. Henck, C. R. Pasquier, C. Mèziére, and P. Batail, Phys. Rev B **87**, 245110 (2013).
[13] Yi Zhang, et al, Nature Physics **6**, 584 (2010).
[14] A. A. Soluyanov, D. Gresch, Z. Wang, Q. Wu, M. Troyer, X. Dai & B. A. Bernevig, Nature **527**, 495 (2015);
[15] L. Huang, T. M. McCormick, M. Ochi, Z. Zhao, M.-T. Suzuki, R. Arita, Y. Wu, D. Mou, H. Cao, J. Yan, N. Trivedi & A. Kaminski , Nature Materials **15**, 1155 (2016); Yaojia Wang et al, Nature Com. **7**, 13142 (2016); L. Huang, et al., "Spectroscopic evidence for type II Weyl semimetal state in $MoTe_2$.", arxiv: 1603.06482 (2016); Deng, K. et al., "Experimental observation of topological Fermi arcs in type-II Weyl semimetal $MoTe_2$.", arxiv: 1603.08508 (2016).
[16] Mingzhe Yan et al, "Lorentz-violating type-II Dirac fermions in transition metal dichalkogenides $PtTe_2$", arXiv:1607.03643v1 (2016).
[17] Ilya Belopolski et al. "Discovery of a new type of topological Weyl fermion semimetal state in $Mo_xW_{1-x}Te_2$", arXiv:1612.05990v1 (2016).
[18] Chang, G. et al. "Theoretical prediction of magnetic and noncentrosymmetric Weyl fermion semimetal states in the R-Al-X family of compounds (R= rare earth, Al= aluminium, X= Si, Ge)". arxiv: 1604.02124 (2016).
[19] F. Sun and J. Ye , "Type I and Type II Weyl fermions, Topological depletions and sub-leading scalings across topological phase transitions", arXiv:1610.03171v1 (2016).
[20] Y. Zhou et al, Phys. Rev. Let., **117**, 146402 (2016).
[21] Y. S. Hor, A. J. Williams, J. G. Checkelsky, P. Roushan, J. Seo, Q. Xu, H. W. Zandbergen, A. Yazdani, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 057001 (2010);H. Yi et al. Sci. Rep. **4,** 6106 (2014).
[22] S. Wanka, D. Beckmann, and J. Wosnitza, E. Balthes and D. Schweitzer, W. Strunz and H. J. Keller, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 9301 (1996).
[23] V. Z. Kresin and W. A. Little, ed., "Organic Superconductivity" , Springer Science+Business Media, 1990; V. Vulcanescu, C. Lenoir, P. Batail, L. Fruchter, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 471 (1995); K. Izawa, H. Yamaguchi, T. Sasaki, and Y. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 027002 (2001); S. Milbradt, et al, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 064501 (2013); H. B. Cui et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc., **136**, 7619 (2014).
[24] Y. Zhou et al. Phys. Rev. B **97** 144514 (2016).
[25] J. J. Hamlin, J. R. Jeffries, N. P. Butch, P. Syers, D. A. Zocco, S. T. Weir, Y. K. Vohra, J. Paglione and M. B. Maple, J. Phys. Cond. Mat., **24**, 035602 (2012); K. Kirshenbaum, P. S. Syers, A. P. Hope, N. P. Butch, J. R. Jeffries, S. T. Weir, J. J. Hamlin, M. B. Maple, Y. K. Vohra, and J. Paglione, Phys. Rev. Let. **111**, 087001 (2013);
[26] P. P. Kong, et al, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **25,** 362204 (2013).
[27] R. L. Stillwell, Z. Jenei, S. T. Weir, Y. K. Vohra, and J. R. Jeffries, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 094511 (2016); D. VanGennep et al,

"Pressure-induced superconductivity in the giant Rashba system BiTeI", arXiv:1610.08038v1 (2016).
[28] Y. Qi, W. Shi, P. G. Naumov, N. Kumar, W. Schnelle, O. Barkalov, C. Shekhar, H. Borrmann, C. Felser, B. Yan, and S. A. Medvedev, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 054517 (2016).
[29] P. L. Alireza et al, "Accessing the Full Superconducting Dome in Pristine $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6+x}$ Under Pressure", arxiv: 1610.09790v1 (2016).
[30] S. Das Sarma and Q. Li, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 081404(R) (2013); P.M.R. Brydon, S. Das Sarma , H.-Y. Hui, and J. D. Sau, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 184512 (2014); D.P. Li, B. Rosenstein, B. Ya. Shapiro, and I. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 054517 (2014).
[31] L. Fu and E. Berg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 097001 (2010).
[32] J.-L. Zhang et al. Front. Phys., **7,** 193 (2012).
[33] M. Alidoust, K. Halterman, and A. A. Zyuzin, "Superconductivity in Type-II Weyl Metals", arXiv:1612.05003v1 (2016).
[34] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gor'kov, I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, "Quantum field theoretical methods in statistical physics", Pergamon Press, New York (1965).